SGT Minutes
November 28, 2022
Present: William Pearson, Jennean Danielson, Timothy McLellan, Brenda Wilson, Hiram Gonzalez, Jenny Varol, Nicole Asaro, Julie Dennis, Todd Resley, Yuko Kanazawa, Polly Foster

Item 1
Call to order at 3:13 p.m.

Item 2 – Public Comments
- None

Item 3 – SGT Business
- October minutes were reviewed and approved.

Item 4 Principal’s Update
- During Thanksgiving break crews began to prep campus for the installation of solar panels in the parking lots. Construction will begin on Dec. 13 and all parking lots will be closed. We will maintain a firelane for emergency and buses only. All staff parking and student drop offs will be on Governor and Radcliffe. The end of the 2nd grading period has arrived and students will get progress reports this Friday. They will have 6 more weeks of school before the end of the first semester and those grades are final. All students start over fresh for the second semester.

Item 5 SGT Discussion/Action
- LOP List: Standley has established academic and citizenship criteria for participation in school events. This is set at a standard 2.0/2.0 GPA reflective of most schools in the district. Mr. Pearson showed a comparison of the old policy E, G, S, N, U with the new one E, M, I, U. The new policy eliminates a 0 in the GPA calculation which inflates GPA’s. The last Loss of Privilege (LOP) list only had 3 students on it due to the change in GPA calculation. Normally this would be considerably more. The question was “should we consider modifying the citizenship criteria to reflect the change in calculation?” Mr. Pearson shared staff suggestions from the last staff meeting with most suggesting we raise the citizenship GPA criteria from 2.0 to 2.5, but some said we should not change it, or even eliminate the policy. SGT asked for additional information: what criteria is the high school using and what does the grades look like the P2 grading period. Mr. Pearson will gather that information for the next meeting.
- SBL: Mr. Pearson reviewed the current state of SBL as a continuum from just getting starting to refining practices. Because we are not mandated to fully implement, schools and staff are in different places of implementation. This makes it difficult to gather consistent data on what teachers use for a Body of Evidence. Mr. Pearson shared a district explanation of a Quality Body of Evidence and reviewed different takes by authors on the topic that some say summative testing only and others don’t. T. M. shared what a body of evidence looked like in his math class and T. R. shared his from computers. SGT asked for additional information: Where are staff this year in the implementation of SBL and/or using a Quality Body of Evidence? Mr. Pearson will gather that information for the next meeting.
- Food deliveries discussion was tabled to the next meeting.
- J. D. requested that we add staff communication to the next meeting agenda to continue the previous conversation

Item 6 Public Comment
J. D. feels we are missing the mark, especially with 6th grade families, by not finding ways to communicate more frequently from the classroom with the tools already at our disposal.

- The meeting was adjourned but conversation continued regarding communication from parents and staff, and it will be revisited at the next meeting.

Adjourn at 4:10 p.m.
Next meeting December 12, 2022